

AN ASYMPTOTIC RESULT FOR LINEAR INTERVAL SYSTEMS

J. ROHN

*Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University,
Malostranské nám. 25, 11800 Prague 1, Czechoslovakia*

Abstract.

It is shown that the bounds \underline{x} and \bar{x} of the exact interval solution (hull) of a system of linear interval equations can be expanded into infinite series and some asymptotic conclusions are drawn.

AMS Subject Classification: 65G10

Let $A^I x = b^I$ be a linear interval system with an $n \times n$ interval matrix $A^I = [A - \Delta, A + \Delta]$ and a right-hand side interval n -vector $b^I = [b - \delta, b + \delta]$. The exact interval solution is defined as the narrowest interval $[\underline{x}, \bar{x}]$ enclosing the solution set $X = \{x'; A^I x' = b', A' \in A^I, b' \in b^I\}$, i.e. $\underline{x} = (\underline{x}_i)$, $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_i)$ must satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{x}_i &= \min \{x'_i; x' \in X\} \\ \bar{x}_i &= \max \{x'_i; x' \in X\} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n). \end{aligned}$$

In this note, we shall show how the vectors \underline{x} and \bar{x} can be expanded into infinite series. The result will be given under three assumptions (for $B = (b_{ij})$ we denote $|B| = (|b_{ij}|)$):

- (i) A^I is inverse stable, i.e. $|(A')^{-1}| > 0$ for each $A' \in A^I$ (this means that each inverse matrix coefficient preserves its sign over A^I),
- (ii) A^I is strongly regular, i.e. $\rho(|A^{-1}| \Delta) < 1$,
- (iii) the whole solution set X is part of a single orthant $R_z^n = \{x' \in R^n; z_j x'_j \geq 0, \forall j\}$, where z is some ± 1 -vector.

Before giving the main result, we introduce several notations. Put $x = A^{-1}b$, $e = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T \in R^n$ and let T_y denote the diagonal matrix with diagonal vector $y \in R^n$. For two $n \times n$ matrices $P = (P_{ij})$ and $R = (R_{ij})$ we denote $P * R = (P_{ij} R_{ij})$, the componentwise product of P and R , and $\text{diag } P = (P_{11}, P_{22}, \dots, P_{nn})^T$. Finally we introduce the sign matrix $S = (S_{ij})$ by

$$S_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (A^{-1})_{ji} > 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } (A^{-1})_{ji} < 0 \end{cases}$$

(the case $(A^{-1})_{ji} = 0$ cannot occur due to (i)).

THEOREM. Let (i), (ii), (iii) hold. Then we have

$$(1) \quad \bar{x} = x + \text{diag} \left(A^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M_j \right)$$

$$(2) \quad \underline{x} = x - \text{diag} \left(A^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j M_j \right)$$

where the matrices M_j are given by

$$(3) \quad M_0 = S * ((\Delta|x| + \delta)e^T)$$

$$(4) \quad M_j = S * (BM_{j-1}), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

with $B = \Delta T_z A^{-1}$.

PROOF. (a) Let $Y = \{y \in R^n; |y_j| = 1 \text{ for each } j\}$. As in the general theory [2], denote by x_y the solution of the system $(A - T_y \Delta T_z)x' = b + T_y \delta$, $y \in Y$ (z is the same as in (iii), so that $T_z x_y \geq 0$ holds). From (ii) it follows that $\rho(A^{-1} T_y \Delta T_z) \leq \rho(|A^{-1}| \Delta) < 1$, hence $x_y = (A - T_y \Delta T_z)^{-1}(b + T_y \delta) = (E - A^{-1} T_y \Delta T_z)^{-1}(x + A^{-1} T_y \delta) = x + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (A^{-1} T_y \Delta T_z)^j x + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (A^{-1} T_y \Delta T_z)^j A^{-1} T_y \delta = x + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (A^{-1} T_y \Delta T_z)^j (A^{-1} T_y (\Delta|x| + \delta))$. Thus we have proved that

$$(5) \quad x_y = x + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (A^{-1} T_y \Delta T_z)^j (A^{-1} T_y (\Delta|x| + \delta))$$

holds for each $y \in Y$.

(b) Let $y(i)$ denote the i th column of S . We shall prove by induction on j that for each $j \geq 0$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $(A^{-1} M_j)_{i \cdot}$, the i th column of $A^{-1} M_j$, satisfies

$$(6) \quad (A^{-1} M_j)_{i \cdot} = (A^{-1} T_{y(i)} \Delta T_z)^j (A^{-1} T_{y(i)} (\Delta|x| + \delta)).$$

Let i be fixed. If $j = 0$, then from (3) we have $(A^{-1} M_0)_{i \cdot} = A^{-1} (M_0)_{i \cdot} = A^{-1} T_{y(i)} (\Delta|x| + \delta)$. Let (6) hold for some $j \geq 0$. Then by (4) we have $(A^{-1} M_{j+1})_{i \cdot} = A^{-1} (M_{j+1})_{i \cdot} = (A^{-1} T_{y(i)} \Delta T_z)^{j+1} (A^{-1} T_{y(i)} (\Delta|x| + \delta))$, which is (6).

(c) In view of assumption (i), we have $\bar{x}_i = (x_{y(i)})_{i \cdot}$, $\underline{x}_i = (x_{-y(i)})_{i \cdot}$, see [2], theorem 3. Hence, combining (5) and (6), we obtain for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ that $\bar{x}_i = x_i + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (A^{-1} M_j)_{i \cdot} = x_i + \left(\text{diag} \left(A^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M_j \right) \right)_i$ and $\underline{x}_i = x_i + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} (A^{-1} M_j)_{i \cdot} = x_i - \left(\text{diag} \left(A^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j M_j \right) \right)_i$, which proves (1) and (2). ■

Formulae (1) and (2) may be used in practical computations, but the rounding errors in A^{-1} may influence the result; therefore the method described in [3] is to be

preferred. Nevertheless, the theorem implies some asymptotic consequences. Denote

$$\beta = \max \left\{ \max_{ij} \Delta_{ij}, \max_i \delta_i \right\}.$$

Then we can easily prove by induction from (3), (4) that

$$A^{-1}M_j = O(\beta^{j+1})$$

holds for each $j \geq 0$, therefore for each $m \geq 0$ we have

$$(5) \quad \bar{x} = x + \text{diag} \left(A^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^m M_j \right) + O(\beta^{m+2})$$

$$(6) \quad \underline{x} = x - \text{diag} \left(A^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^j M_j \right) + O(\beta^{m+2})$$

and

$$(7) \quad \frac{1}{2}(\bar{x} - \underline{x}) = \text{diag} \left(A^{-1} \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \text{ even}}}^m M_j \right) + O(\beta^{m+2}).$$

For $m = 0$, we obtain

$$\bar{x} = x + |A^{-1}|(|\Delta|x| + \delta) + O(\beta^2)$$

$$\underline{x} = x - |A^{-1}|(|\Delta|x| + \delta) + O(\beta^2)$$

which is Miller's result in [1]. If we take $m = 1$, we get

$$(8) \quad \bar{x} = x + |A^{-1}|(|\Delta|x| + \delta) + \text{diag } N + O(\beta^3)$$

$$(9) \quad \underline{x} = x - |A^{-1}|(|\Delta|x| + \delta) + \text{diag } N + O(\beta^3)$$

where

$$N = A^{-1}M_1 = A^{-1}(S*(\Delta T_z A^{-1}(S*((|\Delta|x| + \delta)e^T)))).$$

Obviously, if β is small, then formulae (8), (9) can give acceptable estimates. Moreover, they imply

$$\frac{1}{2}(\bar{x} - \underline{x}) = |A^{-1}|(|\Delta|x| + \delta) + O(\beta^3).$$

This is an interesting improvement of Miller's result, where the error is of the form $O(\beta^2)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Miller, *On an interval-arithmetic matrix method*, BIT 12 (1972), 213–219.
- [2] J. Rohn, *Solving interval linear systems*, Freiburger Intervall-Berichte 84/7, 1–14.
- [3] J. Rohn, *A two-sequence method for linear interval equations*, Computing 41 (1989), 137–140.